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## 1．Introduction

Wenzhounese（温州话）
－A southern Wu dialect
－SVO，with topic prominence（cf．Li \＆Thompson 1976）
－Form of the resultative verb compound（RVC）： $\mathrm{V}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{2}$
（1a）Mandarin

| wo | chi | bao | le |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG | eat | full | SFP |

＇I am full as a result of eating．＇
（1b）Wenzhounese
$\eta$ t ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ po ba 1SG eat full SFP
＇I am full as a result of eating．＇
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## 2．1 Word order

Mandarin：usually SVO，or SbaOV（把字句）
（2a）John ku hong le yanjing
John cry red PFV eye
＇John cried so much that his eyes were red．＇
（2b）John ba yanjing ku hong le John BA eye cry red PFV
＇John cried so much that his eyes were red．＇

### 2.1 Word order

Wenzhounese: obligatory SOV, or SdeOV (逮; counterpart of Mandarin ba)

The choice depends on

- the type of the RVC (Chang, 2003), and
- the semantics of the object


### 2.1 Word order

Type II: SOV (O = body part) $\subset$ SdeOV

- $\mathrm{V}_{1}\left\langle\right.$ SUBJ $\left._{\mathrm{i}}\right\rangle$
$\mathrm{V}_{2}\left\langle\right.$ SUBJ $\left._{\mathrm{j}}\right\rangle$
- RVC $\left\langle\right.$ SUBJ $_{i}$ OBJ $\left._{j}\right\rangle$
(4a) John (de) ŋotsə $\quad k^{h} \boldsymbol{u}$ hoŋ t6hi
John DE eye cry red PFV
'John cried so much that his eyes were red.'
(4b) John *(de) kau-ŋ khul vo t6hi
John DE dog-DIM cry annoy PFV
'John cried so much that the puppy got annoyed.'


### 2.1 Word order

Type III: SOV с SdeOV

- $\mathrm{V}_{1}\left\langle\right.$ SUBJ $\left._{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{OBJ}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\rangle \quad \mathrm{V}_{2}\left\langle\right.$ SUB $\left._{\mathrm{k}}\right\rangle$
- RVC $\left\langle S U B J_{i} O B J_{j=k}\right\rangle$
(5a) John (de) phai sy vutey ho
John DE dish cook burn PFV
'John overcooked the dish.'
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (5b) John *(de) } & \text { man } & k^{\text {h }} \quad & \text { pha } & \text { ho } \\ \text { John DE door } & \text { smash } & \text { break PFV }\end{array}$


### 2.1 Word order

Type IV: SOV

- $\mathrm{V}_{1}\left\langle\right.$ SUBJ $_{\mathrm{i}}$ OBJ $\left._{\mathrm{j}}\right\rangle$
$\mathrm{V}_{2}\left\langle\right.$ SUB $\left._{\mathrm{k}}\right\rangle$
- RVC $\left\langle\right.$ SUBJ $\left._{\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{OBJ}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\rangle$
(6a) John (*de) sou tshz vo tchi
John DE book read annoy PFV
'John got tired of reading books.'
(6b) John (*de) ho-n t. bhi vai fo $^{\text {hi }}$
John DE shrimp-DIM eat tired PFV
'John got tired of eating shrimps.'


### 2.1 Word order

Type V: SdeOV is preferred (according to four informants)

- $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ (SUBJ $\left.\mathrm{SOBJ}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\rangle \quad \mathrm{V}_{2}\left\langle\right.$ SUBJ $\left._{k}\right\rangle$
- RVC〈SUBJ $\left.\mathrm{OBJ}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\rangle$
(7a) John ?(de) som ta day ho
John DE hand hit break PFV
'John hit something; as a result, his hand broke.'
(7b) John ta vume-dzau ?(de) fa ta pha ho
John play feather-ball DE shoe play rag PFV
'John played badminton; as a result, his shoes became ragged.'


### 2.2 Reduplication of $\mathbf{V}_{1}$

Mandarin: highly restricted, if not impossible (Arcodia \& Basciano, 2021: 179)
(8a) ?ba diban sao-sao ganjing
BA floor sweep-RED clean
'Sweep the floor clean!'
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { (8b) } & \text { *John ba } & \text { wenzi } & \text { pai-pai } & \text { si } & \text { le } \\ & \text { John BA } & \text { mosquito clap-RED die PFV } \\ & \text { Intended: ‘John swatted the mosquito to death.' }\end{array}$

## 2．2 Reduplication of $\mathbf{V}_{1}$

Wenzhounese：productive（a marker of modality according to Pan 2002）
（9a）de laupo se－se lyodzei
DE floor sweep－RED clean
＇Sweep the floor clean！＇
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text {（9b）John de mandzon } & \text { pha－pha } & \text { sz } & \text { ho } \\ & \text { John DE } & \text { mosquito } & \text { clap－RED } & \text { die } & \text { PFV }\end{array}$

### 2.3 Adverbial modification

Mandarin: certain adverbs cannot modify $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ in the RVC (Liu, 2021: 75)
(10a) yifu you shai gan le
clothes again air dry SFP
'The clothes are aired to a dry state again.'
(10b) *yifu hen shai gan le
clothes very air dry SFP
Intended: 'The clothes are aired to a very dry state.'

### 2.3 Adverbial modification

Wenzhounese: the adverb can modify $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ in the RVC
(11a) $\mathrm{j} \varepsilon$
fe sa se ba
clothes again air dry SFP
'The clothes are aired to a dry state again.'
(11b) $\mathrm{j} \varepsilon$
clothes very air dry SFP
'The clothes are aired to a very dry state.'

### 2.4 Potential reading

Mandarin: must be marked by the morpheme -de 得 'till'
(12a) ni fan chi-de bao ma
2SG meal eat-till full $Q$
‘Can you get full from eating the meal?'
(12b) *ni fan chi bao ma
2SG meal eat full $Q$
Intended: ‘Can you get full from eating the meal?’

### 2.4 Potential reading

Wenzhounese: optionally marked by the morpheme -le 来 'come'
(13a) ni vo tohi-le po fa
2SG meal eat-come full $Q$
'Can you get full from eating the meal?'
(13b) ni vo tehi po fa
2SG meal eat full $Q$
'Can you get full from eating the meal?'
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## 3. Analysis

Theoretical assumptions:

- LFG (lexicalist, constraint-based; see Dalrymple et al. 2019)
- C (onstituent)-structure, f(unctional)-structure
- No $X^{0}$ can be derived in syntax



## 3. Analysis: Representation

Q1: Are RVCs formed in the lexicon (compound) or in syntax (phrase)?

My answer: in syntax, as opposed to Her (2007) and Li (1995)
(Consequently, "RVC" is not an appropriate label)

## 3. Analysis: Representation

Evidence 1: That an adverb can modify $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ shows that RVC's internal structure is visible to syntax (cf. Liu, 2021: 72)
(14a) John you ba zhuozi zhuang dao le John again BA table knock fall PFV 'John knocked down the table again (The table fell before).'

(14b) | John | he de tsu | dzu | fo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| John | again DE | table | knock | fall PFV

'John knocked down the table again (The table fell before).'

## 3. Analysis: Representation

Evidence 2: In WZ, an adverb has the same distribution in other phrases
(15a) jє
clothes very air dry SFP
'The clothes are aired to a very dry state.'
(15b) John $6 i \quad$ zei kha
John very run fast
'John runs very fast.'

## 3. Analysis: Representation

Evidence 3: Question formation can access the internal structure of the RVC

| (16) | j $\varepsilon$ | sa | $\mathrm{s} \varepsilon$ | ba | mei |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | a |  |  |  |  |
|  | clothes | air | dry | SFP | NEG |

'Have the clothes been aired to a dry state?'
( $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ is questioned, while $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ is presupposed)

## 3. Analysis: Representation

Q2: Which element is the head, $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ ? (see Li 2009 for an overview)

My answer: $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ is the head of the VP

Evidence 1: adverbs can modify $\mathrm{V}_{2}$, suggesting that adverbs are structurally closer to $\mathrm{V}_{2}$, despite their linear adjacency to $\mathrm{V}_{1}$

## 3. Analysis: Representation

Evidence 2: The choice of the perfective marker is determined by $\mathrm{V}_{2}$

Two perfective markers exist in WZ, with subtle semantic distinctions

- ho implies negative consequence or decrease in quantity

| $m \varepsilon$ 万o | bad PFV | 'become worse or spoiled' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| za Һo | thin PFV | 'become thinner' |

- tohi implies positive consequence or increase in quantity he tohi good PFV 'become better or recovered' tsu t6hi strong PFV 'become stronger or fatter'


## 3. Analysis: Representation

| (17a) | John |  | t6hi |  |  | ba |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | John | medicine | eat | PF |  | SFP |  |  |
|  | 'John | has taken | med | ine. |  |  |  |  |
| (17b) | t6 ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ | t6u | tshi |  | vs. | t6hi | za | ho/*t6hi |
|  | eat | strong | PFV |  |  | eat | thin | PFV |
|  | 'get s | tronger via | ting' |  |  | 'get |  | ia eating' |

## 3. Analysis: Word order

The ostensible SOV order in Wenzhounese is TSV
The RVC is PASSIVISED! (cf. Tan's 1991 unmarked passive)

| $[J o h n]_{\text {Topic }}$ | [phai] ${ }_{\text {subject }}$ | 6y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| John |  | cook burn PFV |

'As for John, the dish was overcooked (by him).'

## 3. Analysis: Word order

Evidence 1: the sentence-initial John cannot bind the subject-oriented reflexive $z z$ 'self'

(unless we use the causative marker de)

## 3. Analysis: Word order

Evidence 2: John cannot control adjuncts like dede-nan 'deliberately' or fui ləusan 'carelessly', but phai ‘dish’ can (cf. Tan 1991: §2.2.2).
(20a) *[John $]_{\text {Topic }}$ dede-nan $\left[p^{\text {hai }}\right]_{\text {subject }}$ sy vutey ho John deliberately dish cook burn PFV Intended: 'As for John, the dish was deliberately overcooked (by him).'
(20b) [phai] $]_{\text {sujject }}$ fu lousan sy vut.6y fo dish NEG careful cook burn PFV
'The dish was carelessly overcooked.'

## Contents

## 1. Introduction

2. The differences
a. Word order
b. Reduplication
c. Adverbial modification
d. Potential reading
3. The analysis
4. Conclusion

## 4. Conclusion

1. RVCs are phrases, not compounds
2. $V_{2}$ is the head of the phrase
3. In Wenzhounese, RVCs are obligatorily passivised, while they can remain active in Mandarin. This is reflected by the difference in word order SOV (actually TSV) in Wenzhounese vs. SVO in Mandarin
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